



# AGENCY FACTSHEET

# EBRD


Up to date as of August 7, 2023

Accreditation Year: 2004


Geographic Scope: Regional/Sub-Regional

## Financing by GEF Phase

### Financing across GEF-Managed Trust Funds



### Number of Projects by Project Type



## Co-financing

### Latest Co-Financing Amount (\$b)




### Co-Financing Ratio by Focal Area (X:1)




# Portfolio Distribution

Financing by Focal Area



Financing by Region




Share of Financing by Country Groups

● LDC ● SIDs

| Country Group | GEF - 4 | GEF - 5 | GEF - 6 | GEF - 7 |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| LDC           | 0.0%    | 0.0%    | 0.0%    | 0.0%    |
| SIDs          | 0.0%    | 0.0%    | 0.0%    | 0.0%    |

Financing by Type of Executing Agencies



## GEF-7 Portfolio Progress

Distribution of GEF-7 Projects by Status

● CEO Endorsement Cleared ● Under Implementation



\*Unless specified, all charts include GEF Trust Fund, LDCF, and SCCF funding

## Agency Effectiveness and Efficiency

EBRD 

- Above 80% of the portfolio
- From 60% to 80% of the portfolio
- Below 60% of the portfolio

| Indicator  | GEF<br>Average -<br>FY22                | FY19                                     | FY20                                     | FY21                                     | FY22                                     | FY22 Bb<br>of<br>Projects |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| Time from CEO endorsement (FSP) / CEO approval (MSP) to first disbursement below 18 months                 | 85 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 50 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    |  | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> |  |                           |
| Time from CEO endorsement (FSP) / CEO approval (MSP) to first disbursement below 18 months - MSPs only (%) | 76 <span style="color: gold;">●</span>  |  |  |  |  |                           |
| Time from CEO endorsement (FSP) / CEO approval (MSP) to first disbursement below 18 months - FSPs only (%) | 90 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> |  | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> |  |                           |
| Time from CEO endorsement to mid-term review submission below 4 years                                      | 50 <span style="color: red;">●</span>   |  |  | 0 <span style="color: red;">●</span>     |  |                           |
| MSP age below 4 years (%)  | 61 <span style="color: gold;">●</span>  | 50 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 0 <span style="color: red;">●</span>     | 0 <span style="color: red;">●</span>     | 0 <span style="color: red;">●</span>     |                           |
| FSP age below 6 years (%)  | 81 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 57 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 50 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 44 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 29 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 7                         |
| Completed projects with a timely Terminal Evaluation (%)   | 87 <span style="color: green;">●</span> |  |  |  |  |                           |
| Disbursement ratio of ongoing portfolio (%)  | 19                                      | 11                                       | 0  | 6  | 6  | 8                         |
| Projects rated in the satisfactory range for both Implementation Progress and Development Outcome (%)      | 80 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 78 <span style="color: gold;">●</span>   | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 91 <span style="color: green;">●</span>  | 89 <span style="color: green;">●</span>  | 9                         |
| Projects rated in the satisfactory range for Implementation Progress (%)                                   | 83 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 78 <span style="color: gold;">●</span>   | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 91 <span style="color: green;">●</span>  | 89 <span style="color: green;">●</span>  | 9                         |
| Projects rated in the satisfactory range for Development Outcome (%)                                       | 86 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 9                         |
| Proactivity index (%)  | 77 <span style="color: gold;">●</span>  |  |  |  | 0 <span style="color: red;">●</span>     | 2                         |
| Projects with disbursement in the past year (%)  | 89 <span style="color: green;">●</span> |  | 86 <span style="color: green;">●</span>  | 82 <span style="color: green;">●</span>  | 75 <span style="color: gold;">●</span>   | 8                         |
| Over 50% disbursed balance 3+ years into MSP implementation (%)  | 75 <span style="color: gold;">●</span>  | 0 <span style="color: red;">●</span>     | 0 <span style="color: red;">●</span>     | 0 <span style="color: red;">●</span>     | 50 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 2                         |
| Over 50% disbursed balance 5+ years FSP implementation (%)   | 91 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 25 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 50 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 33 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 60 <span style="color: gold;">●</span>   | 5                         |
| Projects with financial closure after Terminal Evaluation submission (%)                                   | 85 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 50 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 0 <span style="color: red;">●</span>     | 50 <span style="color: red;">●</span>    | 2                         |
| Projects financially closed on time in the last year (%)   | 64 <span style="color: gold;">●</span>  | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> |  |  | 100 <span style="color: green;">●</span> | 1                         |
| Projects with co-financing materialized higher than 35% at MTR (%)   | 51 <span style="color: red;">●</span>   |  |  |  |  |                           |
| Co-financing materialized higher than 80% at Terminal Evaluation (%)                                       | 50 <span style="color: red;">●</span>   |  |  |  |  |                           |

## PAGE 1

**Agency Profile** displays essential information about the agency, including the year of accreditation and its geographic scope, indicating whether the agency operates at a regional or global level.

**Portfolio Across GEF-Managed Trust Funds** illustrates the total financing amount (\$million) and the number of approved or under review and approved projects across different GEF-managed trust funds by GEF phases.

**Co-Financing Amount and Ratio by Focal Areas** highlights the overall co-financing amount (\$billion) and the co-financing ratio (X:1) across six focal areas: biodiversity, climate change, land degradation, international waters, chemicals and waste, as well as multiple focal area.

## PAGE 2

**Financing by Focal Area** illustrates the breakdown of total financing from GEF-managed trust funds across six focal areas: biodiversity, climate change, land degradation, international waters, chemicals and waste, and multiple focal area.

**Financing by Region** portrays the allocation of total financing from GEF-managed trust funds across GEF regions: Africa, Asia, Latin America and Caribbean, Europe and Central Asia, as well as Global and Regional categories.

**Share of Financing by Country Group** presents the proportion of financing from GEF-managed trust funds designated for least developed countries (LDC) and small island developing states (SIDs) across GEF phases.

**Financing by Type of Executing Agency** displays the distribution of financing based on different types of executing agencies.

**Distribution of GEF-7 Project by Status** demonstrates the distribution of GEF-managed resources under GEF-7 according to project statuses.

## PAGE 3

**Agency Effectiveness and Efficiency** demonstrates the portfolio scorecard for fiscal year 2022. The indicators encompass three key areas: 1. enhance the speed of operations, 2. ensure strong portfolio management, and 3. increase co-financing across the portfolio. The reporting is harmonized with the GEF Annual Monitoring Report for fiscal year 2022. Additionally, the average score for all agencies during GEF fiscal year 2022, along with agency-specific scores from previous three consecutive fiscal years, is furnished for reference.